

here's a nice misconception about gayness and sundries: that somehow 'a choice' or 'a that way' are opposing possibilities, but each one explainable and non-exclusive to all other areas of life. So that 'being that way' can be not a choice, but your career can be. Or that 'the choice' to be gay is just that, a choice, but some things are inescapably determined. The point is that nothing is 'a choice'. And everything is too, as far as humans are concerned. Everything can be linked to cause-and-effect, which means there was no other way, no choice. But this doesn't make it great, or even OK. Just as it isn't a 'choice' to be gay, it isn't 'a choice' to persecute that sort of person, to mock, slander, or even kill one. Just as much of a 'that way', not a choice. It really is just part of the 'sucks to suck' spectrum. Thank you.

Also, anent the common line said; "You just need to accept yourself for who you really are". Get real, beach. What you mean to say is "You need to accept yourself for who I need you to really be in order for me to fuck you." All reasoning is motivated. The reasoning of people who urge questioner-sexuals to "come out" and "accept themselves" is motivated by desire to have them as sexual objects. NOT for the questioners' own happiness. No one persuades anyone to do anything for the other's happiness; that is un-experience-able by the persuader. It's for his own pleasure and benefit that he persuades the other to do anything. Realpolitik folks. Let's get real. (this is expounded in my philosophy on selfishness).

Also, "really" means nothing. "Reality" can only mean what is. If something is claimed as someone's 'Real' self, and that is not now what is being shown by that person, guess what, it isn't his 'real' self. Your "real" self is whatever you have repressed, plus the repression itself, plus your cultural backdrop, plus your familial strife, plus your time period woes, and whatever other baggage is piled on top. Assuming for a moment that one could even decipher the kernel of personality from the whole cob, how could that be the 'real' person, and not just part of him? At what point does the *Argo* cease to be the *Argo*?

I just thought of another: The sneering disgust of the lesbians for the old line, "It's just a phase". Well it is just a phase. Life itself is just a phase of matter, sexual potency and desire being just one part of that. I don't think anyone would argue that humans are at equal sexual desire and function from zygote to heart attack, so even that isn't really a constant thing. It's also a 'phase', that is, it is changing all the time. Any desire for the vulva that I might have wasn't there when I was young, and may not be there when I'm old. Why shouldn't the same hold true for other vulva/breast-oriented humans?